Appendix 1: Environmental Enrichment for Rodents

Introduction:

A major objective of the NIH Intramural Research Program (IRP) Animal Care and Use Program is to promote the humane care and use of animals in biomedical research and this guidance serves as a set of best practices to help achieve the environmental enrichment for rodents. *The Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals* (NRC, 2011) hereafter referred to as the *Guide*, promotes the humane care and use of laboratory animals and strives to enhance animal well-being, the quality of research, and the advancement of scientific knowledge that is relevant to both humans and animals.

Environmental Enrichment Strategies:

For the purpose of this document, enrichment is defined as, 'an increase in the complexity of the environment in which the animal lives, with the goal of enhancing the animal's welfare.' The *Guide* states that animal programs should address the behavioral, physiological, and physical needs of the species, stocks, or strains of animals held in the laboratory. In addition, AAALAC International has stated that environmental enrichment is an important method of improving the well-being of many laboratory animal species and may be accomplished by the provision of stimuli, structures, and resources that facilitate the expression of species-appropriate behaviors.

Therefore, the end goal of enrichment is to provide the animals with the option and ability to engage in species typical behaviors and promote psychological well-being through the use of structural, social, or activity-based opportunities. A successful enrichment program takes into account all aspects of a species' natural behavior, including social organization, foraging behavior, and daily activity of the animal (Poole and Dawkins, 1999; Steward and Raje, 2001). Environmental enrichment may include housing animals in social groups; housing animals with structural or activity-based cage supplementations or both.

The table below describes the most common strategies for rodent environmental improvements and enrichment.

Table 1: Rodent Husbandry Refinements

Social Housing	Structure and Substrate
 Housed in compatible pairs or groups unless stated otherwise in the research protocol or for clinical health concerns Adult males may require single housing due to aggression 	 Paper nest building material (i.e., Nestlets° for mice), virgin pulp bedding, strips of paper fibers Plastic or paper housing structures Escape platforms Foraging mix/treats
Manipulanda/Toys	Refinement Handling
 Plastic huts Running wheels Hide tube Gnawing sticks (i.e., Nylabones) 	 Change cages by tunnel handling or cupping Minimize picking up mice by the base of the tail Positive human interaction pre-study to reduce fear

Summary:

Environmental enrichment strategies for rodents have been widely adopted across the NIH IRP, the United States, and Europe (EU Council Directive, 2010/63/EU) to address the welfare of rodents used in biomedical research. Standardized strategies have been applied to a wide range of research situations with varying research goals without adverse results. All NIH animal programs should have an environmental enrichment plan for rodents used within their program and delineate the approach to be used within the program. These environmental enrichment plans should be reviewed by the Institute/Center (IC) ACUC on a regular basis.

In order to minimize the impact of research variable between facilities and programs at the NIH, it is recommended that each program adopt two environmental enrichment strategies for rodents. For example, mice could have nesting material (e.g., Nestlet® Crink-l'Nest®) and a secondary enrichment strategy from Table 1 to be incorporated into to the microenvironment. For example, rats could have a gnawing device and a secondary enrichment strategy from Table 1. Programs choosing to go above this minimum environmental enrichment must consider the introduction of additional research variables between their animals and animals housed in different facilities and programs. In addition, any deviation from the IC's enrichment policy should be approved in the Animal Study Proposal (ASP).

References:

- American College of Laboratory Animal Medicine Series. 2014. Laboratory Animal Welfare. K. Bayne and P. V. Turner. Boston, Academic Press.
- Bailoo JD, Murphy E, Boada-Sana M, Varholick JA, Hintze S, Baussiere C, Hahn KC, Gopfert C, Palme R, Voelkl B, Wurbel H. 2018. Effects of Cage Enrichment on Behavior, Welfare and Outcome Variability in Female Mice. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, Volume 12, 1-20.
- Baumans V. 2005. Environmental Enrichment for Laboratory Rodents and Rabbits: Requirements of Rodents, Rabbits, and Research. ILAR J 46: 162-170.
- Bayne K. 2005. Unintended consequences of environmental enrichment for laboratory animals and research results. ILAR J 46:129–39.
- Benefiel AC, Dong, WK, Greenough, WT. 2005. Mandatory "enriched" housing of laboratory animals: the need for evidence-based evaluation. ILAR 46:95-105
- Dennis MB. 2000. Humane endpoints for genetically engineered animal models. ILAR J 41:94-98.
- EU Council Directive on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes 2010/63/EU.http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32010L0063&rid=1
- Fureix, C, et al, 2016. Stereotypic behaviour in standard non-enriched cages is an alternative to depression-like responses in C57BL/6 mice, Behavioural Brain Research, Volume 305, 186-190.
- Gaskill BN, et al. 2012. Heat or insulation: behavioral titration of mouse preference for warmth or access to a nest. Plos One 2012 7: 1-11
- Gelfo F. 2019. Does Experience Enhance Cognitive Flexibility? An Overview of the Evidence Provided by the Environmental Enrichment Studies. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, Volume 13, 1-9.
- Gross AN, Richter SH, Engel AK, Wurbel H. 2012. Cage-induced stereotypies, perseveration and the
 effects of environmental enrichment in laboratory mice. Behavioural Brain Research, Volume 234,
 61-68.
- Harkness and Wagner's Biology and Medicine of Rabbits and Rodents, 5th edition, by John E. Harkness, Patricia V. Turner, Susan VandeWoude, Colette L. Wheler Wiley-Blackwell and ACLAM, 472 p.
- Harland BC, Dalrymple-Alford JC. 2020. Enriched Environment Procedures for Rodents: Creating a Standardized Protocol for Diverse Enrichment to Improve Consistency across Research Studies. Bio Protoc. 10(11):e3637. doi: 10.21769/BioProtoc.3637. PMID: 33659308; PMCID: PMC7842323.

- Hebb, DO. 1949. The organization of behavior. NY: Wiley
- Hutchinson E, Avery A, Vandewoude S. 2005. Environmental enrichment for laboratory rodents. ILAR J 46:148–61.
- Makowska IJ and Weary DM. 2016. The importance of burrowing, climbing and standing upright for laboratory rats. Royal Society Open Science 3(6): 160136. doi: 10.1098/rsos.160136
- National Centre for the Replacement Refinement and Reduction of Animals in Research. "Housing and Husbandry: Mouse". Published December, 23, 2020. Retrieved April 10, 2023, from https://www.nc3rs.org.uk/3rs-resources/housing-and-husbandry-mouse.
- National Centre for the Replacement Refinement and Reduction of Animals in Research. "Housing and Husbandry: Rat". Published December, 23, 2020. Retrieved April 10, 2023, from https://www.nc3rs.org.uk/3rs-resources/housing-and-husbandry-rat.
- National Research Council. 2011. Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals. Washington, DC:
 National Academy Press.
- North American 3Rs Collaborative. "Rodent Housing Evidence-based refinements for mice and rats."
 Retrieved April 10, 2023, from https://www.na3rsc.org/rodent-housing/
 Olsson, IAS. & Dahlborn K. 2002. Improving housing conditions for laboratory mice: a review of 'environmental enrichment'. Laboratory Animals, 36: 243-270.
- Poole T & Dawkins MS. 2010. Environmental enrichment for vertebrates. In: The UFAW handbook on the care and management of laboratory and other research animals. 8th ed. (T. Poole, ed.). Blackwell Science, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- Pritchett-Corning K. 2015. Rats. In: Comfortable Quarters for Laboratory Animals, 10th edition. (Eds. Liss C, Litwak K, Tilford D, Reinhardt V), Animal Welfare Institute. https://awionline.org/sites/default/files/publication/digital_download/AWI-ComfortableQuarters-2015.pdf
- Stewart KL & Raje SS. 2001. Environmental enrichment committee: its role in program development. Lab Anim, 30:50-52.
- Tsai PP, Stelzer HD, Hedrich HJ, Hackbarth H. 2003. Are the effects of different designs on the physiology and behaviour of DBA/2 mice consistent? Lab Anim 37:314-327.
- University of California at Berkeley Environmental Enrichment Guideline.http://www.acuc.berkeley.edu/guidelines/environmental_enrichment.pdf
- van Praag H, Kempermann G, Gage FH. 2000. Neural consequences of environmental enrichment. Nat Rev Neurosci, 1: 191-198.
- Vega-Rivera, NM, Ortiz-López, L, Gómez-Sánchez, A, Oikawa-Sala, J, Estrada-Camarena, EM, Bernabé Ramírez-Rodríguez, G. 2016 The neurogenic effects of an enriched environment and its protection against the behavioral consequences of chronic mild stress persistent after enrichment cessation in six-month-old female Balb/C mice. Behavioural Brain Research, Volume 301, 72-8.
- Weed JL & Raber JM. 2005. Balancing animal research with animal well-being: establishment of goals and harmonization of approaches. ILAR J. 46:118-28
- Wolfer DP, Litvin O, Morf S, Nitsch RM, Lip HP, Würbel H. 2004. Laboratory animal welfare:cage enrichment and mouse behavior. Nature, 432:821–822.
- Würbel H. 2007. Environmental enrichment does not disrupt standardization of animal experiments. ALTEX 24:70–73.
- Würbel H & Garner JP. 2007. Refinement of rodent research through environmental enrichment and systematic randomization. NC3Rs # 9 pp 1-9. http://www.nc3rs.org.uk

Approved – 12/11/2013 Revised – 03/22/2017, 12/19/2019, 07/26/2023