

# Guidelines for the Genotyping of Mice and Rats

## Purpose

The specific genetic identification of genetically engineered animals in a litter is critical to the efficient pursuit of research and in reducing the number of animals involved in a research project. The genotype is most often determined by analysis of DNA extracted from tissues of young rodents. Analysis by the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) requires the least amount of DNA. DNA for PCR analysis can be obtained from tail biopsies, ear punches, hair, blood, fecal or oral samples<sup>1-12</sup>. Larger amounts of DNA are required for Southern Blot determination of the genotype.

Obtaining tissue from a mouse or rat for DNA analysis via tail biopsy is a safe, effective and humane procedure. Pain perception of tail clamping in rats does not start to develop until 12 to 14 days of age<sup>13</sup>, so performing tail biopsy earlier in rodents may cause less pain. When performed properly in adult mice it causes only minimal or transient pain and distress, and induces no more “physiological impact” (change in heart rate, body temperature, or activity level) than restraint of the animal for the procedure<sup>14</sup>. DNA prepared from tail biopsies is suitable for analysis by either Southern Blot or PCR. Depending on the requirements of the study, investigators are urged to consider less invasive alternatives such as ear punches and noninvasive alternatives such as hair, fecal or oral samples.

## Guidelines for Tail Biopsy

1. Procedures for tail biopsy for DNA analysis and/or genotyping must be included in an approved Animal Study Proposal (ASP) and described in the ASP or an ACUC approved SOP.
2. Ideally, mice and rats should be **10-21** days old. At this age, the yield of DNA is highest<sup>4,9</sup>. In addition, prompt analysis of tail tissue allows the desired mice and rats to be identified prior to weaning which can facilitate more efficient use of cage space.
  - a. **For mice and rats 10-21 days of age:** Based on the physiological impact and rodent pain ontogeny studies, investigators are strongly encouraged to apply local anesthesia to the tail and to conduct tail biopsy as early as possible within this age range. Local anesthesia may be achieved by immersion of the tail in ice cold ethanol for 10 seconds, by an application of ethyl chloride spray or by the use of another suitable anesthetic as recommended by the attending veterinarian.
  - b. **For mice and rats greater than 21 days of age:** The use of a local or general anesthetic is required prior to collection of tissue. If a general anesthetic is to be used, an appropriate agent should be recommended by the attending veterinarian.
  - c. **For rats greater than 35 days of age:** The use of a general anesthetic is required.
3. Manually restrain the mouse or rat between thumb and forefinger. This is a convenient time to identify the animals using the appropriate method (i.e. ear punch, ear tag, transponder etc.).

4. With sterile scalpel, razor blade, or scissors cleanly excise the distal 2mm (maximum 5 mm) of the tail. If the proper procedures are followed, the yield of DNA from 5 mm of tail should be enough for multiple analyses. The yield of DNA does not proportionally increase as tail fragments larger than 5mm are used. If small amounts of DNA are required, investigators should consider taking only 2 mm of tail. If the analysis of the DNA is to be performed by PCR, great care should be taken to remove all tissue from the scissors or scalpel after each animal. Disinfect the scalpel or scissors between animals. If a scalpel is used, also disinfect the work surface on which the tail is placed between animals.
5. The investigator must monitor the animals to assure hemostasis after the animals are returned to the cage. If needed, apply digital pressure, silver nitrate, or some other means of hemostasis.
6. If additional DNA is needed for retesting, alternatives to a second tail biopsy should be considered. Repeat tail biopsies require anesthesia and must be justified in the ASP. The use of post-procedural analgesia should be considered.

Approved - 06/12/02

Revised - 01/12/05, 09/12/07, 05/12/10, 09/11/13.

## References:

1. Irwin MH, Moffatt RJ and Pinkert CA. Identification of Transgenic Mice by PCR Analysis of Saliva. *Nat Biotechnol* 1996 Sep;14(9): 1146-8.
2. Schmitteckert EM, Prokop CM and Hedrich HJ. DNA Detection in Hair of Transgenic Mice - A Simple Technique Minimizing the Distress on the Animals. *Laboratory Animals* 1999; 33(4):385-389.
3. Broome RL, Feng L, Zhou Q, Smith A, Hahn N, Matsui SM, Omary MB. Non-invasive Transgenic Mouse Genotyping Using Stool Analysis. *FEBS Lett* 1999; 462:159-160.
4. Pinkert CA. Transgenic Animal Technology: Alternatives in Genotyping and Phenotyping. *Comp Med* 2003; 53(2):126-139.
5. Meldgaard M, Bollen PJA, Finsen B. Non-invasive method for sampling and extraction of mouse DNA for PCR. *Laboratory Animals* 2004; 38:413-417.
6. Suematsu N, Isohashi F. Rapid and simple Screening of Transgenic Mice: novel extraction-free, filter-based PCR genotyping from blood samples. *Acta Biochim Polon* 2006; 53(3):613-616.
7. Zhang Y-H, Huang B-L, Eastman K, McCabe LL, MacLennan NK, McCabe ERB. Mouth cell collection device for newborn mice. *Mol. Genet. Metab.* 2006; 89:164-167.
8. Mitrečić D, Mavrić S, Branica BV, Gajović S. Mice Genotyping Using Buccal Swab Sample: an Improved Method. *Biochem. Genet.* 2008; 46:105-112.
9. Hankenson FC, Garzel LM, Fischer DD, Nolan B, Hankenson KD. Evaluation of Tail Biopsy Collection in Laboratory Mice (*Mus musculus*): Vertebral Ossification, DNA Quantity, and Acute Behavioral Responses. *J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci* 2008; 47(6):10-18.
10. Kalippke K, Ganser A, Tiede A, et al. DNA analysis from stool samples: a fast and reliable method avoiding invasive sampling methods in mouse models of bleeding disorders. *Lab Animals*, 2009 Oct., 43:4, 390-393.
11. Hamann M, Lange N, Kuschka J, et al. Non-invasive genotyping of transgenic mice: comparison of different commercial kits and required amounts. *ALTEX*, 2010;27(3) p. 185-190.
12. Chen Z, Mantha R, Chen, J, *et al.* Non-invasive genotyping of transgenic animals using fecal DNA. *Lab Animal*, 2012 Apr 41(4) p. 102-107.
13. Diesch T, Mellor D, Johnson C, Lentle R. Electroencephalographic Responses to Tail Clamping in Anesthetized Rat Pups. *Laboratory Animals* 2009; 43:224-231.
14. Cinelli P, Rettic A, Seifert B, Bürki K, Arras M. Comparative Analysis and Physiological Impact of Different Tissue Biopsy Methodologies Used for the Genotyping of Laboratory Mice. *Lab Animals* 2007; 41: 174-184.